# estimating the Cost of Vision Problems The Economic Burden of Vision Loss and Eye Disorders in the United States

Presented by John Wittenborn

at the UNIVERSITY of CHICAGO



### Overview

- Build on this morning's presentation
- Data
- Methods
- Detailed Results





## Consensus vision burden guidelines

- Consensus guidelines for economic analyses of vision released in 2010 (Frick et al 2010)
- Defined analysis perspectives and cost categories

Cost Category	Perspective				
	Government	Insurance	Patient	Comprehensive	
Direct Costs					
Medical costs	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
Other health costs	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
Aids/adaptations	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
Indirect Costs					
Productivity loss	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
Caregivers	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
<b>Deadweight loss</b>				$\checkmark$	
Loss of well-being			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	

## Prevalence of low vision

 Prevalence of low vision for ages 40 and older based on NEI-sponsored metaanalyses of epidemiological studies

Best-corrected acuity

 Prevalence of low vision ages 12-39 based on 2005-2008 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) data

Autorefractor corrected acuity

• Prevalence for ages 0-11 imputed from incidence rates





## Prevalence of low vision





## Medical costs – Data

- 2003-2008 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey (MEPS)
  - Panel survey of a subsample of National Health Interview Respondents
  - Respondents report medical and diagnosis history, and medical providers
  - MEPS contacts providers to verify medical events and expenditures
  - MEPS assigns diagnosis codes to respondents



## Medical costs – MEPS versus claims

- 2007 estimate used claims data
  - 40-64 MarketScan commercial insurance claims
  - 65+ Medicare claims
- Disadvantages of MEPS versus claims
  - Smaller sample size
  - Wider confidence intervals
  - Difficult to get cost per diagnosis
- Advantages of MEPS versus claims
  - Includes out of pocket and vision insurance costs
  - Includes prescription drug costs
  - Includes non-ophthalmologic procedure costs





## Medical costs

- "Top-down" econometric approach
  - 2-part generalized linear model, gamma distribution with log link
    - Controlling for double counting of costs for multiple conditions
  - Primary dependent variable is total medical expenditures excluding vision correction costs
  - Primary independent variables
    - Any eye/vision related diagnosis code
    - Self-reported low vision, no diagnosis code



## Medical costs – Diagnosed disorders

	Total				Per person	
Age Group	Mean	95% C	l	Mean	95%	6 CI
Ages 0-17	2,455,801,946	2,192,680,309	2,769,701,443	659	585	725
Ages 18-39	4,387,668,703	3,958,364,979	4,858,517,949	1,268	1,138	1,393
Ages 40-64	12,982,975,516	11,298,073,804	14,887,145,258	1,957	1,696	2,211
Ages 65+	26,092,895,681	22,503,824,227	30,235,907,423	3,097	2,674	3 <i>,</i> 560
Total	45,919,341,846	39,952,943,320	52,751,272,073	2,470	2,139	2,820



## Medical costs – Undiagnosed low vision

		Total		Per	person	
Age Group	Mean	95	% CI	Mean	95%	6 CI
Ages 0-17	47,527,024	22,042,207	80,090,533	117	50	196
Ages 18-39	474,311,372	207,727,608	810,137,672	205	88	337
Ages 40-64	1,702,212,345	424,687,555	3,866,041,598	272	68	586
Ages 65+*	798,135,633	-11,771,231	2,169,599,464	329	-5	877
Total	3,022,186,374	642,686,139	6,925,869,268	274	51	618
			*Not statistica	ally differen	t from :	zero



## Vision correction costs

- "Non-medical" optometry visits and medical vision aids (glasses, contacts) are captured and reported separately from other expenditures in MEPS data
  - Diagnosed disorders and low vision predicted only a tiny fraction of these costs
  - Costs are self-reported and not verified by MEPS
    - Average reported visit cost about \$94
- "Bottom-up" accounting approach
  - Total of visit and medical vision aid costs



## Nonmedical optometry visit costs

		Total			er persoi	n
Age Group	Mean	95	% CI	Mean	95	5% CI
Ages 0-17	387,758,202	335,826,300	440,844,146	5	5	6
Ages 18-39	679,730,896	610,488,360	748,973,432	8	7	8
Ages 40-64	1,234,825,227	1,132,115,465	1,338,689,031	13	12	14
Ages 65+*	547,016,035	489,313,922	604,718,148	15	14	17
Total	2,849,330,360	2,654,297,217	3,046,671,588	11	10	12



## Medical vision aid costs

		Total			Per perso	on
Age Group	Mean	95	% CI	Mean	9	5% CI
Ages 0-17	1,479,977,160	1,386,175,790	1,573,778,529	20	19	21
Ages 18-39	3,335,159,796	3,105,867,560	3,574,874,407	38	37	39
Ages 40-64	6,222,157,495	5,867,796,767	6,576,518,223	66	64	67
Ages 65+*	2,199,120,991	2,053,207,750	2,334,611,857	61	58	63
Total	13,236,415,441	12,506,849,236	13,965,981,647	53	51	55



### Long-term care

- Nursing home
  - Vision attributable long-term care utilization estimated based on data from the National Nursing Home Survey and Baltimore Eye Study
  - Cost of nursing home based on 2011
    Genworth Financial Cost of Care Survey
    - \$3,261 per month, or \$39,132 per year
  - Cost allocation is 58% government, 34% patient, 8% insurance
- Skilled nursing facility
  - Excess SNF claims costs for Medicare patients with vision loss



## Medical costs – medical vision aid costs

Nursing Home	Visually Impaired	Blind	Total
65-74	\$1,151,673,904	\$747,843,332	\$1,899,517,235
75-84	\$2,756,047,902	\$2,056,147,072	\$4,812,194,973
85+	\$5,357,417,985	\$4,752,910,393	\$10,110,328,377
Total	\$9,265,139,790	\$7,556,900,796	\$16,822,040,586

SNF	Excess Costs per Person 2013 \$	# of persons with vision loss	Total Costs
Moderate	\$758.35	2,069,877	\$1,569,691,418
Severe	\$1,165.24	479,634	\$558,888,257
Blind	\$1,301.29	996,730	\$1,297,033,427
Total			\$3,425,613,102



## Informal care

- Cost of informal care attributable to low vision and blindness
  - Care hours for adults based on previous PBA estimate
    - The only parameter left-over from the original analysis
  - Care hours for children based on data from the American Time Use survey and the special census on disability in France
  - Cost of care hours based on 2013 U.S. national average wage

### FOCUS ON EYE HEALTH National Summit

Frick, K., et al., *Economic impact of visual impairment and blindness in the United States*. Arch Ophthalmol, 2007. **125**: p. 544-550. Brézin A, Lafuma A, Fagnani F, Mesbah M, Berdeaux G. Prevalence and burden of self-reported blindness, low vision, and visual impairment in the French community. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2005;123:1117-1124. U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. American Time Use Survey—2010 Results. In: US Department of Labor, ed. Vol USDL-11-0919. Washington, DC: US Department of Labor; 2011



## Productivity losses

- Median income level by self-reported vision status by age group based on Survey of Income and Program Participation data
  - assumes self-reported difficulty seeing = moderate impairment
  - assumes self-reported inability to see printed words = blindness
- Productivity losses equal to the product of:
  - The reduction in income associated with vision loss
  - the prevalence of moderate impairment and blindness





## **Productivity losses**

 Productivity losses drop from ages 18-39 to 40-64 because of the reduction in prevalence of moderate impairment

Age Group	Moderate Impairment	Blind	Total
0-17	\$0	\$0	\$0
18-39	\$11,400,339,873	\$1,577,176,319	\$12,977,516,191
40-64	\$6,798,546,344	\$4,029,229,475	\$10,827,775,819
65+	\$17,701,291,441	\$6,920,311,587	\$24,621,603,028
Total	\$35,900,177,657	\$12,526,717,381	\$48,426,895,038

Low vision aids, devices and dog guides

- Low vision aids are non medical personal, home, and workplace devices for low vision
  - Utilization rates identified by a special census of the disabled in France
  - Utilization rates applied to US specific blindness prevalence and unit costs
- The estimated cost of dog guides for the blind was updated for inflation









#### FOCUS ON EYE HEALTH National Summit

Brézin A, Lafuma A, Fagnani F, Mesbah M, Berdeaux G. Prevalence and burden of self-reported blindness, low vision, and visual impairment in the French community. Arch Ophthalmol 2005;123:1117-1124. Lafuma A, Brézin A, Lopatriello S, et al. Evaluation of non-medical costs associated with visual impairment in four European countries: France, Italy, Germany and the UK. Pharmacoeconomics 2006;24(2):193-205. Wirth KE, Rein DB. The economic costs and benefits of dog guides for the blind. Ophthalmic Epidemiol Mar-Apr 2008;15(2):92-98.



## Special education

- Individuals with Disabilities Education Act and the Act to Promote Education of the Blind
  - requires states to provide free intervention and educational programming for children with blindness through age 21
- Number of children receiving special education due to blindness based on the American Printing House for the Blind registry
- Cost of special education for the blind based on updated value cited by the Act



### FOCUS ON EYE HEALTH National Summit



Apling RN. Individuals with Disabilities Education Act: Full Funding of State Formula. Washington DC: Congressional Research Service, The Library of Congress; December 27 2001. 97-433 EPW. Distribution of eligible students based on the Federal quota census of January 05, 2009. 2010. http://www.aph.org/fedquotpgm/dist10.html. Updated Last Updated Date. Accessed September 15, 2011

## School and pre-school vision screening

- School screening is generally based on individual state law and implemented at the school district level
  - Screening ages and frequency based on a nationwide survey of school screening
- Costs and penetration rates of school and preschool screening based on our earlier evaluation of 3 PBA sponsored vision screening programs in NC, VA and GA
- We assume screening is acuity chart with stereopsis

0

## Federal assistance programs

- Budgetary costs of federal supportive services
  - National Library Services for the Blind
  - American Printing House for the Blind
  - Committee for Purchase from People who are Blind or Severely Disabled





Transfers, tax losses and deadweight loss

- Transfer payments are not included in costs
  - Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI)
  - Supplemental Security Income (SSI)
  - Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (food stamps)
  - Reduced tax revenue
- Deadweight loss (cost of allocative inefficiency)
  - 38% of transfer payments





Loss of well-being and disability

- Disability adjusted life years (DALYs)
  - Disability weights from the recently released
    Global Burden of Disease Project
  - DALYs estimated by multiplying disability weights for impairment and blindness by the prevalent population with the condition
- We generated and alternative measure using quality adjusted life years (QALYs)
  - Utility measures in the literature





## Disability adjusted life year losses

Age Group	Total DALYS	Monetized Value*
0-17	6,921	\$346
18-39	26,351	\$1,318
46-64	33,379	\$1,669
65+	216,476	\$10,824
Total	283,127	\$14,156



## Utility values in the literature

	Main Results			
Study	Normal	Impairment	Blindness	
Crew et al. (2011)	1		0.79	
Smith et al. (2008)	0.82	0.73		
Shah et al. (2004)	0.94	0.96	0.8	
Brown et al. (2002)	0.85	0.755	0.595	
Brown et al. (2001)			0.51	
Brown et al. (2001)	0.93			
Clemons et al. (2003)	0.94	0.865		
Coffey et al. (2002)	0.69		0.3925	
Fryback et al. (1993)	0.93	0.789		
Mittmann et al. (1999)	0.93	0.78		
Sharma et al. (2000)	0.81	0.62	0.55	
Chadha, R.K. et al. (2011)	1	.65		

## Utility values we used from Brown et al 2003

Acuity in Better-seeing Eye	Utility
20	0.97
20 with <=40 other eye	0.92
25	0.87
30	0.84
40	0.8
50	0.77
70	0.74
100	0.67
200	0.66
300	0.63
400	0.54
LP	0.35
NLP	0.26

## Quality adjusted life year losses

Age Group		Total QALYS	Monetized Value*
0-17		64,802	\$3,240
18-39		110,304	\$5,515
46-64		60,682	\$3,034
65+		364,732	\$18,237
Total		600,520	\$30,026
	Mild Impair, 245,000 Modera Impai 96,00	Blind, 260,000	Note: QALY = quality adjusted life years *Valued at \$50,000 per QALY, not included in total burden

## **Overall Results**



Age Group	Comprehensive Costs, \$millions						
	0-17	18-39	40-64	65+	All Ages		
Direct Costs							
Diagnosed Disorders	\$2,844	\$5,067	\$14,218	\$26,640	\$48,769		
Medical Vision Aids	\$1,480	\$3,335	\$6,222	\$2,199	\$13,236		
Undiagnosed Vision Loss	\$48	\$474	\$1,702	\$798	\$3,022		
Aids/Devices	\$38	\$77	\$81	\$553	\$749		
Education/School Screening	\$651	\$119	-	-	\$769		
Assistance Programs	\$25	\$13	\$23	\$145	\$207		
Total Direct Costs	\$5 <i>,</i> 086	\$9 <b>,</b> 086	\$22,246	\$30,335	\$66,752		
Indirect Costs							
Productivity Loss	-	\$12,978	\$10,828	\$24,622	\$48,427		
Informal Care	\$601	-	\$187	\$1,264	\$2 <i>,</i> 052		
Nursing Home	-	-	-	\$20,248	\$20,248		
Entitlement Programs*	\$0.5	\$165	\$279	\$1,782	\$2,226		
Tax Deduction*	-	\$6	\$11	\$10	\$28		
Transfer Deadweight Loss	\$47	\$98	\$538	\$808	\$1,490		
Total Indirect Costs	\$648	\$13,075	\$11,553	\$46,941	\$72,217		
Total Economic Burden	\$5,734	\$22,161	\$33,799	\$77,276	\$138,970		

Age Group	Comprehensive Costs, \$millions				
Perspective	Government	Insurance	Patient	Comprehensive	
Direct Costs					
Diagnosed Disorders	26,860	17,249	4,660	48,769	
Medical Vision Aids	900	2,667	9,669	13,236	
Undiagnosed Vision Loss	1,722	928	372	3,022	
Aids/Devices	-	-	749	749	
Education/School Screening	769	-	-	769	
Assistance Programs	207	-	-	207	
Total Direct Costs	30,458	20,844	15,450	66,752	
Indirect Costs					
Productivity Loss	-	-	48,427	48,427	
Informal Care	-	-	2,052	2,052	
Long-term Care	13,233	1,295	5,719	20,248	
Entitlement Programs*	2,226	-	-	-	
Tax Deduction*	28	-	-	-	
Transfer Deadweight Loss	1,490	-	-	1,490	
Total Indirect Costs	16,977	1,295	56,199	72,217	
Total Costs	47,435	22,140	71,649	138,970	

## Probabilistic sensitivity analysis results

Costs in \$ millions	Median Cost	95% Credible Interval	
Direct costs			
Diagnosed Disorders	\$48,769	\$42,468 - \$55,919	
Medical Vision Aids	\$13,236	\$11,615 - \$14,892	
Undiagnosed Vision Loss	\$3,022	\$820 - \$9 <i>,</i> 090	
Aids/Devices	\$749	\$412 - \$1,110	
Education	\$769	\$425 - \$1,107	
Assistance Programs	\$207	\$207 - \$0,207	
Indirect costs			
Productivity Loss	\$48,427	\$24,379 - \$81,730	
Informal Care	\$2,052	\$821 - \$3,790	
Nursing Home	\$19,541	\$11,946 - \$25,557	
Entitlement Programs*	\$559	\$559 - \$559	
Tax Deduction*	\$28	\$17 - \$50	
Transfer Deadweight Loss	\$1,490	\$738 - \$2,224	
Total costs	\$138,263	\$111,669 - \$173,692	

## Limitations – Uncertainty in parameters

- Vision loss prevalence
- Impact of vision loss on wages and employment
- Self-reported eye disorders
- Nursing home placement due to vision loss
- Disability and utility weights for vision loss





## Limitations – Major assumptions

- Mixing disparate sources and methodology for vision loss prevalence by age group
  - NHANES autorefractor (ages 12-39)
  - NEI ophthalmologic exams (ages 40+)
  - Imputed prevalence from incidence (ages 0-11)
- Self-reported impairment classifications for wages





## Conclusions

- Guidelines are important for making economic estimates more comparable
- Burden estimates are limited by data availability and uncertainty
  - We can attempt to quantify the impact of uncertainty
- All economic analyses require assumptions
  - The impact of assumptions is hard to quantify







## Thank You!



TRENMV UYTRMSG ASDWHJUO RTYFD PUTRD Funding provided by Prevent Blindness America and the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

The findings and conclusions in this paper are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the official position of NORC at the University of Chicago, Prevent Blindness America, or the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

For more information: John Wittenborn 1-312-519-5718 Wittenborn-John@norc.org JohnSWittenborn@gmail.com



RENMV UYTRMSG ASDWHJUO RTYFD PUTRD

**CVDH** 

RTZ

-